

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.       |  |
|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 10/523,869  | 02/07/2005                             | Georg Rudiger Kotzian | 70109                   | 7514                   |  |
| 26748 SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION , INC. PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPARTMENT |  |                       | EXAM                    | EXAMINER               |  |
|   |  |                       | SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D    |                        |  |
|   | 410 SWING ROAD<br>GREENSBORO, NC 27409 |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER           |  |
|   |  |                       | 1616                    |                        |  |
|   |  |                       | MATE DATE               | DEL MEDIA CODE         |  |
|   |  |                       | MAIL DATE<br>02/19/2009 | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/523.869 KOTZIAN, GEORG RUDIGER Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIELLE SULLIVAN 1616 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) DANIELLE SULLIVAN. (2) Tom Hamilton. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 17 February 2009. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: . . Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant confirmed that applicant had been abandoned and that no response was sent to the office action mailed 8/06/2008. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.